

ARIZONA EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR INFANTS AND TODDLERS

Arizona Department of Economic Security

AZEIP

EVERYA

STEP

COUNTS

Janet Napolitano Governor State of Arizona

Tracy L. Wareing
Director
Department of Economic Security

Molly Dries Bright Executive Director Arizona Early Intervention Program (AzEIP)

April 14, 2008

Ms. Ruth Ryder Director Monitoring and State Improvement Planning Division 550 12th St, SW Room 4144 Wash DC 20202-2600

Dear Ms. Ryder:

Arizona is pleased to submit clarification of the State's FFY 2006 Part C Annual Performance Report (APR) data, as requested by OSEP in your email dated April 7, 2008, subject line, "AZ (Part C) - State's Clarifications or Corrections to Data." Revised elements are submitted as part of the entire APR submission packet.

The following data has been clarified and/or corrected:

- Changes and additions have been made to Indicator 3, Child Outcomes, on pages 6, 7,
 and 8, to expand the activities or the related timelines to demonstrate planned improvement activities through FFY 2010.
- Additions have been made to Indicator 7, 45-day Timelines, pages 3 and 4, to include and describe, more explicitly than was done in the February 2008 APR submission, programspecific follow-up activities that Arizona is requiring for the remaining uncorrected FFY 2004 findings.
- Additional explanation and details have been added to Indicator 8-C, Transition, page 4, to report program-specific activities being executed to ensure correction of the one uncorrected FFY 2005 finding.
- o Additions have been made to **Indicator 9**, **General Supervision**, **pages 4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8**, **and 9**, showing how activities have been directed to ensure correction of non-compliance, as well as the addition of data to the Indicator C-9 Worksheet, which was updated to show findings verified by December 2007 and numbers of remaining, uncorrected findings.

O Data rubric: Arizona's FFY 2006 data for "Followed Instructions" were recalculated by OSEP for SPP/APR Indicator 2. However, the basis for the recalculation was not indicated in the attached data rubric, nor in the status chart. During a phone call with Debra Jennings, Arizona's OSEP state contact, on Thursday, April 10, 2008, Ms. Jennings stated that reason for the recalculation was that the calculation for Indicator 2 was incorrect. However, the calculation [(4,289 + 155)/5299] does reflect the data reported in Arizona's revised Table 2 Section A submitted in July 2007, and does comply with the measurement for this indicator. Ms Jennings considered the information and said this sounded correct, and we were instructed to point this out in Arizona's response.

Thank you for the opportunity to provides clarification on these elements of Arizona's FFY 2006 APR. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (602) 532-9960 if you desire additional information.

Sincerely,

Molly D. Bright

Mdy Bright